

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,000	12/07/2001	James H. Lee	H-204145	1829
7590 09/13/2004		EXAMINER		
CARY W. BROOKS General Motors Corporation			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			1745	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/005,000	LEE ET AL.
navious y nous in	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Alejandro	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The office have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the c	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply on a later than three months after the mailing the state.	R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the fee. The appropriate extension are to the fee. The appropriate extension are to the fee.
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		opposi
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below)
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the control of the c	s) a) will not be entered or b) lid be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		11
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7,8,10 and 11</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:		
. Patent and Trademark Office		Raymond Alejandro Examiner Art Unit: 1745

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): applicants' arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive, thus, the art rejection for claims 7-8 and 11 has been overcome. Refer to applicant's rebuttal arguments presented in the amendment of 08/30/04. Nevertheless, claims 1-2 and 10 remain rejected. Accordingly, claims 7-8 and 11 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The examiner also acknowledges applicants' privilege and right to argue the merits of patentability of all other rejected claims on Appeal.

It is noted that claim 7 recites the limitation "wherein the condenser fans" in line 12 (the step f). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Thus, Claims 7-8 and 11 would be allowable if also rewritten or amended to overcome the lack of antecendent basis issue (35 USC 112).